

APPENDIX A – FIVE STAR RATING SYSTEM



Location of Evaluation:

Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Infielders

		Player Profile				Ir	nfielde	rs		Ba	ise Run	ning		I	Hittin	g			Att	itude		Intang	gibles		Ran B	king y	Q		
Pos	ition		s		raight on		Chopper B	lay	1 st & 3 rd	st x2		le x2	ields	5mphs +	kills	rol	narios		eness	ility	ong with es	Ability	ressure	el Score	Ø	Φ	R RANKIN		
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Ground ball Straight on	Range	Slow Rollers/Chopper to SS/2B	Double Play	Fielding Bunts	Home to 1 st		2 nd to Home	Use of All Fields	Ability to hit 65mphs	Bunting Skills	Bat Control	Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitiveness	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Ability	Poise under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAR RANKING	sy:	:0
																												Player(s) Evaluated By:	Date(s) of Evaluation:
																												/aluɛ	Eval
) Ev	of I
																												/er(s	te(s)
																												Play	Dat

Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decision clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully complete at the top international level.





Location of Evaluation:

Date(s) of Evaluation:

		Player Profile				C	Dutfiel	ders			В	ase	Runn	ning		ŀ	littin	g			Att	itude	•	Intang	gibles		Ran E	king By	ŋ	
Pos	sition				Cuts from Fence	ase	e Plate	tatching Gap	_		st <	75		e x2	ds Work	5mph +	kills	Skills	arios		ve	lity	ong with es	Ability	ressure	el Score	⁰	0	RANKING	I
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Hitting Cuts fro	Throws to 3 rd Base	Throws to Home Plate	Tracking and Catching Fly Balls in Gap	Sun Drill		Lomo to 1st			2 nd to Home x2	Use of All Fields Work	Ability to Hit 65mph + above	Bunting Skills	Bat Control Skills	Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitive	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Ability	Poise Under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAR	By:
																														Player(s) Evaluated By:
																														Evalu
-			-								+																			r(s)]
																														Playe
			-								+																			
										_	+																			
			+								+																			

Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decision clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships, Canada Games).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully complete at the top international level.





Ime Throws	Fielding Ability	Command of Riseball	Command of Drop Ball	Command of Offspeed	locity	uracy	t x2		2		hs +			(0				b	S	ure	core			RANKING
I me	Fielding At	and of I	d of D	of C					е×	ŝ	dmg	kills	0	arios		Ne.	lity	alon lates	Skill	ress	el Sc	0	Ø	
		Comm	Comman	Command	Top Velocity	Pitch Accuracy	Home to 1 st		2 nd to Home x2	Use of all Fields	Ability to Hit 65mphs	Bunting Skills	Bat Control	Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitive	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Skills	Poise Under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAR
		Image: set of the set of th	Image: set of the set of	Image: set of the set of				Image: state stat	Image: state stat	Image:	Image:		Image:		Image: state in the state interview of the state interview o	Image: state in the state interview of the state interview o		Image:		Image: Serie of the serie o	Image:	I I	Image:	Image:

Location of Evaluation:

Date(s) of Evaluation:

Player(s) Evaluated By:

Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decision clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Games).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully complete at the top international level.





Player(s) Evaluated By:

Location of Evaluation:

Date(s) of Evaluation:

Value Maintify to get along with teammates Seald Seald Coachability Defence Defence Offence Defence Defence Defence Defence Defence Defence	Image: Constraint of the stand of the st
Image: state stat	Image: state stat
Image: state stat	Image: state
Image: Sector of the sector	
Image: state stat	Image: selection of the se
Image: state stat	
Image: Sector	Image: Sector

4 An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decision clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position. 3 An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). 2 A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). 2 A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at th	Score	Criteria
4 control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position. 3 An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). 2 A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). 2 A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Open term of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Open term of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the	5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
 candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). <u>A below average elite-level performance.</u> Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This ath but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). 	4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decision clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
2 but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).	3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
Unaccentable elite-level performance. Well below accentable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program	2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
are necessary in order to successfully complete at the top international level.	1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully complete at the top international level.