

Canada

Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Infielders

		Appendix A - Player Profile				h	nfielde	rs		Bas	e Run	ning		ł	Hitting	g			Att	itude		lı	ntangib	les	Rank	ing By	<u>ں</u>			
Posi	tion		S		raight on	sting	nopper to	ays	1 st & 3 rd	st x2	nd x2	le x2	ields	5mph +	kills	rol	larios		sseue	ility	ong with es	Ability	ressure	el Score	۵	۵	RANKING			
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Ground ball Straight on	Range Testing	SlowRollers/Chopper to SS/2B	Double Plays	Fielding Bunts 1 st	Home to 1 st	Home to 2 nd x2	2 nd to Home x2	Use of All Fields	Ability to hit 65mph	Bunting Skills	Bat Control	Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitiveness	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Ability	Poise under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAR	у:		
																												Player(s) Evaluated By:	Date(s) of Evaluation:	Location of Evaluation:
																												aluat	valu	Evalu
) Eva	ofE	ofE
																												er(s	e(s)	ation
																												Play	Dat	Loca
				$\left \right $						$\left \right $																				
Scor	e	·	-			· · ·	·	•					·	Criter	ia		•	·			•	•		•		•				

5 An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the <u>top international level</u>. (Elite-level) 4 An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decisions clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.



Canada

Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Infielders

3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships, Canada Games).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game.



Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Outfielders

Canada

		Appendix A - Player Profile				Οι	utfield	ers			Base	Runr	ing		ŀ	Hittir	ng			Att	itude)	Inta	angib	oles		king y	9	1		
Pos	ition				m Fence	ase	le Plate	Catching Gap	_		1 st x2	nd x2	e x2	ds Work	5mph +	kills	Skills	arios		ive	ility	ong with es	Ability	ressure	el Score	0	Φ	RANKIN			1
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Hitting Cuts from	Throws to 3 rd Base	Throws to Home Plate	Tracking and Catching Fly Balls in Gap	Sun Drill		Home to 1	Home to 2 nd x2	2 nd to Home x2	Use of All Fields Work	Ability to Hit 65mph +	Bunting Skills	Bat Control Skills	Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitive	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Ability	Poise Under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAR RANKING	By:		
																													ated B	Evaluation:	Location of Evaluation:
																													Evaluated	Evalı	f Eval
														_															(s) E	s) of	o uo
									+ +	+ +		+ $+$	+ +			-													Player(s)	Date(s) of I	catic
																													Pla	õ	Ĕ
																													-		
																													-		
																													-		
																													-	•	1
									+																				-		
																													-		
																													_		
																	1											1			

Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decisions clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships, Canada Games).





1

Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully compete at the top international level.



Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Pitchers



Location of Evaluation:

Date(s) of Evaluation:

Player(s) Evaluated By:

	А	ppendix A - Player Profile					Pitc	hers			В	Base	Rur	ning			Hittin	g			Atti	itude	•	Inta	ngib	les		king By	g
Pos	ition				Ability	Riseball)rop Ball	Offspeed	city	racy		~ XZ	rd x2	e x2	S	5mph +	Skills	rol	Scenarios		ive	llity	along ìates	Skills	ressure	el Score	٥	Φ	STAR RANKING
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Fielding At	Command of Riseball	Command of Drop Ball	Command of Offspeed	Top Velocity	Pitch Accuracy			Home to 2 nd x2	2 nd to Home	Use of all Fields	Ability to Hit 65mph	Bunting S	Bat Control	Game Scen	Effort	Competitive	Coachability	Ability to get along with teammates	Leadership Skills	Poise Under Pressure	Beep Test Level Score	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAF

-	
Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decisions clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships, Canada Games).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully compete at the top international level.



Women's National Team Program Player Evaluation - Catchers



Location of Evaluation:

		Appendix A - Player Profile					Ca	tche	rs		Bas	se R	unn	ing			Hitti	ng				Atti	tude		Inta	ngib	les	Ran B	king y	1G	l	
Positi	on		S		Salls	o Ups د دارانه	d akliis	^{id} Base		unts	1 st x2		×~	le x2	-ields	35mph +	kills	trol	5	narios		eness	ility	long with tes	Ability	ressure	Test Level Score	Φ	ě	STAR RANKING	l	I
Primary	Secondary	Surname	Throws	Hits	Blocking Balls	Catching Pop Ups	came calling skills	Throws to 2 nd Base	i	Fielding Bunts	Home to 1			2 nd to Home	Use of All Fields	Ability to Hit 65mph	Bunting Skills	Bat Control		Game Scenarios	Effort	Competitiveness	Coachability	Ability to get along v teammates	Leadership Ability	Poise under Pressure	Beep Test Lev	Offence	Defence	FIVE STAI	3y:	
																															Player(s) Evaluated By:	Date(s) of Evaluation:
																															Iluat	valu
																				-											Eva	of E
																															er(s)	s(s)
																															laye	Date
																															4	
																															1	
																	_														1	
																															1	
									-										_												1	•
							+					+					+						1									
															1																	

Score	Criteria
5	An excellent elite-level performance. Athlete executes effectively at position and within role on team. Clearly outperforms counterparts at same position on opposing team. This athlete had a lasting dominant effect. Athlete can definitely play and impact at the top international level. (Elite-level)
4	An above average elite-level performance. Good plays and decisions clearly outnumber poor ones. Factors not allowing performance to be (5) might include: playing time lost to game circumstances; slight skill deficiency compared to a (5): slight inconsistency in terms of effort, grittiness, lapse in discipline or emotional control as examples. Athlete can definitely play at the top international level with the only limitation being depth at a similar position.
3	An average elite-level performance. Requires more observation. Athlete made their share of mistakes/poor decisions, but they countered by an equal number of good plays/decisions. Factors within the game may have inhibited achieving satisfactory evaluation on this athlete. Athlete warrants consideration as a candidate for the National Team Program. Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Junior or Senior Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
2	A below average elite-level performance. Bad plays/decisions outnumber good ones. Athlete may have lacked effort and hustle and made errors costly to the team. Attitude, behaviors and performance questionable. Physical and mental components were deficient and below average. This athlete shows some potential but has definite limitations which would not allow them to play at the top international level. Athlete demonstrates above average play at the Junior or Senior Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships). Athlete demonstrates dominant play at the Midget Elite Domestic level of play (i.e., Canadian Championships).
1	Unacceptable elite-level performance. Well below acceptable standards. Not approaching level of contribution required or expected. Significant deficiencies in many areas of the game. Athlete has not demonstrated the appropriate skill set to warrant participation in the National Team Program. Significant improvements are necessary in order to successfully compete at the top international level.